

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgins 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/918,463	08/01/2001	Yongju Jung	1567.1014	2888	
21171 7	590 06/20/2003				
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER		
	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		DOVE, TRA	DOVE, TRACY MAE	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745	: 6	
	•		DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
v	09/918,463	JUNG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tracy Dove	1745			
•	nication appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	EOD DEDI VIS SET TO EVDIDE	2 MONTH(S) EDOM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisior after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, manunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) ly will, by statute, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>01 August 2001</u> .				
2a) This action is FINA L.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
		matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the pra- Disposition of Claims	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the	e application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn from consideration	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election requirement	t.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are r		Usapproved by the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	to by the Examinor.				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a clair	m for foreign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	•	3 110(0) (0) 0. (1).			
<u> </u>	y documents have been received				
<u> </u>	y documents have been received				
	•	peen received in this National Stage			
	rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign la 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	·				
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) 	(PTO-948) 5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r: .			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 8/1/01 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 8, 12, 13 and 33 are objected to because of the following informalities: each claim recites improper Markush group language. In claims 1 and 12 "at least two different groups selected from" should be amended to recite "at least two different groups selected from the group consisting of". In claims 8 and 13 "selected from" should be amended to recite "selected from the group consisting of". In claim 33 "one of a material" should be amended to recite "a material selected from the group consisting of". See MPEP 2173.05. Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 13, last line, "allow" should be "alloy".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1745

The claims recite a mixed organic solvent comprising at least two different solvent groups selected from weak polar solvent, strong polar solvent and lithium protection solvent. However, the claims are indefinite because the weak polar solvent and the lithium protection solvent may be the same. Specifically, the weak polar solvent may be a cyclic or noncyclic ether compound (claim 2) and the lithium protection solvent may be a saturated or unsaturated ether solvent (claim 4). Thus, an ether compound may be both a weak polar solvent and a lithium protection solvent. The claims are indefinite because a single solvent (no "mixed solvent") can represent "at least two" solvent groups.

Claims 31 and 32 recite "further comprising a third solvent that is a solvent of a same group as one of said first and second solvents" and "further comprising a fourth solvent that is a solvent of a same group as one of said first, second and third solvents", respectively. It is unclear how the additional solvent is a member of both the first, second (and third in claim 32) solvent groups. Examiner suggests the claims be amended to recite "further comprising a third solvent that is a solvent of a same group as one of said first *or* second solvents" and "further comprising a fourth solvent that is a solvent of a same group as one of said first, second *or* third solvents", respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chu et al., US 6,030,720.

Chu teaches electrolyte solvents for lithium-sulfur batteries. The disclosed solvents include at least one ethoxy repeating unit compound solvent such as a glyme. The solvent may further include a donor solvent and/or an acceptor solvent. The donor solvent may be hexamethylphosphoramide, dimethylsulfoxide, dimethylacetamide or dimethylformamide. The solvents assist in solvation of lithium ions, sulfide and polysulfide anions. See abstract. Claim 5 recites the main solvent is tetraglyme (weak polar solvent of instant claim 5). Claim 8 recites the donor solvent may be at least one of hexamethylphosphoramide, dimethylsulfoxide, dimethylacetamide or dimethylformamide (strong polar solvent of instant claim 6). It should be understood that the electrolyte solvents of this invention may also include other cosolvents which do not necessarily fall into the donor solvent and acceptor solvent classes. Examples of such additional co-solvents include sulfolane (strong polar), tetrahydrofuran (lithium protection solvent of claim 7), dioxolane (lithium protection solvent of claim 7), dialkyl carbonates (weak polar), propylene carbonate (strong polar), ethylene carbonate (strong polar), dimethyl carbonate, diethyl carbonate, butyrolactone (strong polar), dimethoxyethane (weak polar) and combinations of such liquids (col. 14, lines 33-41). Thus, Chu teaches two or more solvents selected from the same group.

The positive electrode includes a sulfur-based material such as elemental sulfur and the negative electrode includes lithium metal (col. 5, line 55-col. 6, line 21). The positive electrode may include an electrically conductive material (col. 8, lines 35-42). The electrolyte may include an electrolyte salt such as trifluoromethanesulfonimide, lithium triflate, lithium

Art Unit: 1745

perchlorate, LiPF₆ and LiBF₄ (col. 14, lines 56-67). The protection layer 8 is formed on the negative electrode (Fig. 1 and 2B).

Regarding claims 8-10 and 13-15, Chu teaches that the negative electrode may comprise any metal and polyether electrolytes are known to transport divalent ions such as zinc (col. 20, lines 40-50). The materials for the negative electrode include a lithium alloy. Preferred alloys include lithium aluminum, lithium silicon (Si) and lithium tin alloys. Other metallic electrodes may include aluminum (Al), zinc (Zn), lead (Pb) and their alloys (col. 21, lines 1-9). The positive electrode may include sulfides or polysulfides or the metal or metals found in the negative electrode (col. 5, lines 55-65). The positive electrode may include metal sulfide additives (col. 16, lines 39-65).

Regarding claims 19 and 20, identical solvents will have the same ability to dissolve polysulfides, elemental sulfur and/or lithium polysulfide.

Regarding claims 21 and 22, identical solvents will have the same dielectric coefficients. Chu teaches that a desirable property of both donor and acceptor co-solvents used is a high dielectric constant. Such solvents generally promote dissociation of an ionic solute or a contact ion-pair (col. 14, lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 33, Example 1 teaches elemental sulfur, carbon black and polyethylene oxide in a solution of acetonitrile were mixed to form a slurry. The slurry was applied to a current collector to form the positive electrode. Figure 1 shows a lithium/liquid electrolyte/sulfur cell 10 having a positive electrode 18 with positive collector 20, a negative electrode 14 with negative collector 12, a separator 16 and a protective layer 8.

Thus the claims are anticipated.

Application/Control Number: 09/918,463 Page 6

Art Unit: 1745

>>

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nimon et al., US 6,225,002.

Nimon discloses dioxolane as a protector for lithium (negative)electrodes of lithium-sulfur batteries (title). Battery cells containing dioxolane as an electrolyte co-solvent exhibit improved cycling performance over cells not containing dioxolane (abstract). Figure 9 shows a mixed solvent of tetraglyme (weak polar solvent) and dioxolane (lithium protection solvent). The electrolyte includes a main solvent having the chemical formula shown in col. 3, line 18 and a co-solvent wherein the co-solvent includes dioxolane. The electrolyte may also include an additional co-solvent having a donor number of at least about 13 (col. 3, lines 15-25). The battery includes a sulfur-based positive electrode. Donor solvents (strong polar solvents) are disclosed in col. 7, lines 14-22. The electrolyte may include other co-solvents such as those listed on col. 7, lines 32-41. The lithium salts of the electrolyte are listed in col. 7, lines 42-46.

Note Chu et al., US 6,030,720 is incorporated by reference in Nimon (col. 6, lines 26-29). Chu teaches electrolyte solvents for lithium-sulfur batteries. The disclosed solvents include at least one ethoxy repeating unit compound solvent such as a glyme. The solvent may further include a donor solvent and/or an acceptor solvent. The donor solvent may be hexamethylphosphoramide, dimethylsulfoxide, dimethylacetamide or dimethylformamide. The solvents assist in solvation of lithium ions, sulfide and polysulfide anions. See abstract. Claim 5 recites the main solvent is tetraglyme (weak polar solvent of instant claim 5). Claim 8 recites the donor solvent may be at least one of hexamethylphosphoramide, dimethylsulfoxide, dimethylacetamide or dimethylformamide (strong polar solvent of instant claim 6). It should be

Art Unit: 1745

understood that the electrolyte solvents of this invention may also include other cosolvents which do not necessarily fall into the donor solvent and acceptor solvent classes. Examples of such additional co-solvents include sulfolane (strong polar), tetrahydrofuran (lithium protection solvent of claim 7), dioxolane (lithium protection solvent of claim 7), dialkyl carbonates (weak polar), propylene carbonate (strong polar), ethylene carbonate (strong polar), dimethyl carbonate, diethyl carbonate, butyrolactone (strong polar), dimethoxyethane (weak polar) and combinations of such liquids (col. 14, lines 33-41). Chu teaches two or more solvents selected from the same group. The positive electrode includes a sulfur-based material such as elemental sulfur and the negative electrode includes lithium metal (col. 5, line 55-col. 6, line 21). The positive electrode may include an electrically conductive material (col. 8, lines 35-42). The electrolyte may include an electrolyte salt such as trifluoromethanesulfonimide, lithium triflate, lithium perchlorate, LiPF₆ and LiBF₄ (col. 14, lines 56-67). The protection layer 8 is formed on the negative electrode (Fig. 1 and 2B). Chu teaches that the negative electrode may comprise any metal and polyether electrolytes are known to transport divalent ions such as zinc (col. 20, lines 40-50). The materials for the negative electrode include a lithium alloy. Preferred alloys include lithium aluminum, lithium silicon (Si) and lithium tin alloys. Other metallic electrodes may include aluminum (Al), zinc (Zn), lead (Pb) and their alloys (col. 21, lines 1-9). The positive electrode may include sulfides or polysulfides or the metal or metals found in the negative electrode (col. 5, lines 55-65). The positive electrode may include metal sulfide additives (col. 16, lines 39-65). Note identical solvents will have the same ability to dissolve polysulfides, elemental sulfur and/or lithium polysulfide. Also note identical solvents will have the same dielectric coefficients. Chu teaches that a desirable property of both donor and acceptor Application/Control Number: 09/918,463 Page 8

Art Unit: 1745

co-solvents used is a high dielectric constant. Such solvents generally promote dissociation of an ionic solute or a contact ion-pair (col. 14, lines 1-5). Example 1 of Chu teaches elemental sulfur, carbon black and polyethylene oxide in a solution of acetonitrile were mixed to form a slurry. The slurry was applied to a current collector to form the positive electrode. Figure 1 shows a lithium/liquid electrolyte/sulfur cell 10 having a positive electrode 18 with positive collector 20, a negative electrode 14 with negative collector 12, a separator 16 and a protective layer 8.

Thus the claims are anticipated.

>>

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Katz et al., US 6,358,643.

Katz teaches a liquid electrolyte lithium-sulfur battery. It is generally desirably that the positive electrode have a relatively high porosity, possibly as high as 95% or more. Generally, higher porosity electrodes allow fabrication of cells with higher laminate energy densities because less electronic conductor is required. Of course, an electrode's porosity, capacity and thickness are linked so that setting two of these parameters fixes the other.

Note Chu et al., US 6,030,720 is incorporated by reference in Nimon (col. 6, lines 26-29). See discussion of Chu above regarding claims 1-33.

Thus the claims are anticipated.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Dove whose telephone number is (703) 308-8821. The Examiner may normally be reached Monday-Thursday (9:00 AM-7:30 PM). My supervisor is

Art Unit: 1745

Pat Ryan, who can be reached at (703) 308-2383. The Art Unit receptionist can be reached at

(703) 308-0661 and the official fax numbers are 703-872-9310 (after non-final) and 703-872-

9311 (after final).

June 12, 2003

Patrick Ryan
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

Page 9